# Regions Investment Management

# **SEC and Proxy Advisors**

FIRMA
Fiduciary Risk Management Conference
May 2, 2022

Chris Watson, CFA
Director of Equity Portfolio Management

#### **Disclosures**

- Investment advisory services are offered through Regions Investment Management, Inc. ("RIM"). RIM is an Investment Adviser registered with the U.S. Securities & Exchange Commission pursuant to the Investment Advisers Act of 1940. RIM is a wholly owned subsidiary of Regions Bank, which in turn, is a wholly owned subsidiary of Regions Financial Corporation.
- While the commentary accurately reflects the opinions of the Speaker(s), it does not necessarily reflect those of Regions Bank or RIM. This presentation is solely for information and educational purposes and nothing contained in this presentation constitutes an offer or solicitation to purchase any security, the recommendation of any particular security or strategy or a complete analysis of any security, company or industry or constitutes tax, accounting or legal advice. Information is based on sources believed by RIM to be reliable but is not guaranteed as to accuracy by Regions Bank, RIM or any of their affiliates. Commentary and opinions provided in this presentation reflect the judgment of the authors as of the date of this presentation and are subject to change without notice. Certain sections of this presentation may contain forward-looking statements that are based on the reasonable expectations, estimates, projections and assumptions of the Speaker(s), but forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties, which are difficult to predict. Investment ideas and strategies presented may not be suitable for all investors. No responsibility or liability is assumed by Regions Bank, RIM or their affiliates for any loss that may directly or indirectly result from use of information, commentary or opinions in this publication by you or any other person.
- RIM is not a registered municipal investment advisor and neither RIM nor any of its employees provides advice to municipal entities or obligated persons with respect to municipal financial products or the issuance of municipal securities, including regarding the structure, timing, terms and similar matters concerning municipal financial products or municipal securities issuances, or engage in the solicitation of municipal entities or obligated persons for such services. RIM's services to municipal entities and obligated persons will be limited to providing investment advice in its capacity as a registered investment adviser. With respect to any information, materials or communications provided by RIM or its personnel to any municipal entity or obligated person that does not relate to RIM's investment advice, (a) RIM is not recommending an action to the municipal entity or obligated person, (b) RIM is not acting as an advisor to the municipal entity or obligated person and does not owe a fiduciary duty pursuant to Section 15B of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to such municipal entity or obligated person with respect to such information, materials or communications, (c) RIM is acting for its own interests, and (d) the municipal entity or obligated person should discuss any information, materials or communications with any and all internal and external advisors and experts that the municipal entity or obligated person deems appropriate before acting on such information, materials or communications.

#### Overview

- \* Pros and cons of using a proxy advisor
- \*Recent SEC Guidance on use of proxy advisors
- \* Proxy advisor due diligence

### Pros and Cons of Proxy Advisors

- Why use a proxy advisor?
  - Many institutional shareholders do not have the bandwidth to analyze all proposals and many retail investors treat proxies as junk mail
  - Logistics they simplify the voting process
  - Proxy advisors are independent and knowledgeable
  - Can have positive effects such as promoting good corporate governance and increasing shareholder engagement
- What are the problems with using a proxy advisor?
  - Are you fulfilling your fiduciary duty
  - Are they focused on maximizing shareholder return
  - There are consistent complaints from management
    - Recommendations based on incomplete or inaccurate info
    - Conflicts of interest
    - Lack of communication

## **Regulators and Proxy Voting**

- 1988 Dol's "Avon Letter"
- 2003 SEC Rule 206(4)-6 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940
- 2004 SEC no-action letters
- 2010 SEC "proxy plumbing" concept release
- 2013 House FSC hearing "Examining the Market Power and Impact of Proxy Advisory Firms"
- 2014 –SEC staff legal bulletin (SLB 20) regarding proxy voting responsibilities
- 2015 House FSC approved legislation requiring advisory firms to register with the SEC
- 2018 SEC staff withdraw no-action letters
- 2018 SEC roundtable on the proxy process
- 2018 Corporate Governance Fairness Act introduced
- 2019 SEC Commissioner comments on robovoting
- 2019 SEC clarifies investment advisor's proxy voting responsibilities
- 2020 SEC adopts rules to provide more transparent, accurate and complete information
- 2021 SEC proposes rules that would address impairments to timeliness and independence of proxy voting advice

### **Regulatory Concerns**

- What are the SEC's concerns?
  - 1. Concentration among proxy advisors
    - ISS and Glass, Lewis & Co. together control over 90% of the proxy advisory market
  - 2. Robovoting
    - Are asset managers passing on their fiduciary responsibility
  - 3. Disclosures
    - Are investors aware of a manager's reliance on a proxy advisor
  - 4. Conflicts of Interest
    - Is the advisor's recommendation in the best interest of the client
  - 5. Accuracy and completeness of information
    - Are there mistakes that are material to the analysis
  - 6. Dialogue with company management
    - Does the company have a chance to comment on analysis

- 1. Agreeing on the scope of your authority and responsibilities
- Demonstrating that decisions are being made in the client's best interest and in accordance with P&P
- 3. Considerations when retaining a proxy advisory firm
- 4. Discovering errors, incompleteness or methodological weakness
- 5. Evaluating the services of a proxy advisory firm
- 6. Do you always have to vote proxies on behalf of the client

- How may an investment adviser and its client, in establishing their relationship, agree upon the scope of the investment adviser's authority and responsibilities to vote proxies on behalf of the client?
- The investment adviser and client may shape the voting authority through full and fair disclosure and informed consent.
- Voting determinations must be consistent with your fiduciary duty and in compliance with Rule 206(4)-6.

- What steps could an investment adviser take to demonstrate that it is making voting determinations in a client's best interest and in accordance with the investment adviser's proxy voting policies and procedures?
- Do you have a need for multiple proxy voting policies
- Are votes being cast consistently according to policies and procedures
- Are policies and procedures reviewed on an annual basis

- What are some considerations that an investment adviser should take into account if it retains a proxy advisory firm to assist it in discharging its proxy voting duties?
- Adequacy of staffing and technology
- Does the adviser solicit feedback from issuers and clients
- Does the adviser disclose methodologies for making recommendations
- Review adviser's P&P with specific focus on conflicts of interest

- What steps should an investment adviser consider when it becomes aware of errors, incompleteness or methodological weakness in the adviser's analysis?
- Are you periodically reviewing your use of the advisory firm's research, including the extent to which errors, incompleteness or methodological weaknesses impacted recommendations
- Are you reviewing the adviser's P&P and are you comfortable with their approach to handling errors, incompleteness or methodological weaknesses

- How can an investment adviser evaluate the services of a proxy advisory firm that it retains, including evaluating any material changes in services or operations by the proxy advisory firm?
- Are your P&P effective at identifying and evaluating the proxy adviser's conflicts of interest
- Do you receive updates regarding the advisory firm's capacity and competency to provide and execute voting recommendations
- Are you comfortable with the frequency of updates to methodologies, guidelines and voting recommendations

- If an investment adviser has assumed voting authority on behalf of a client, is it required to exercise every opportunity to vote a proxy for that client?
- Does your agreement with the client cover all possible scenarios
- You may refrain if it is in the best interest of the client
- Do your disclosures cover situations where you may not vote a proxy

#### **Proxy Voting Best Practices**

- Committee reviews ISS benchmark policy and policy updates prior to proxy season
- We review our Proxy Committee charter and Proxy Committee Voting Guideline Document
- Discuss potential conflicts of interest
- Review ISS due diligence materials (Policies and Procedures, Code of Ethics, Code of Conduct, Policy Regarding Disclosure of Significant Relationships)
- Perform random sampling of proxy season votes
  - Validate that ISS is voting in line with their benchmark policy
  - Validate that Regions' custom policy is being implemented
  - Validate that securities from the potentially conflicting list are being voted in line with the benchmark policy

#### **Summary**

- Proxy voting is receiving more regulatory attention
  - Advisory business is concentrated
  - Growth in passive strategies makes outsourcing voting attractive
  - Companies are pushing back on pay and board contests
- Robovoting is becoming less attractive
- The new approach favors
  - Advisor due diligence
  - Sampling and verification of policy implementation
  - Ability to customize
  - Clear disclosures